

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,579	04/12/2001	Robert W. Bosley	AM-9810	4548
7	590 04/19/2002		·	
Rachele Wittwer			EXAMINER	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP Suite 900 1800 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067			GONZALEZ, JULIO C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
		DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
**		09/834,579	BOSLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Julio C. Gonzalez	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 111	ebruary 2002 .				
, , _	•	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
1 1	, _ ··· —					
,	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
10,0	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
	f approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 60-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not provide clear support for the recitations of the controller controlling the inverter to ramp up the current first and ramping up the frequency secondly to reduce motor inrush current nor varying the frequency and current of the ac power to track a process requirement. Also, the rectifier connected to the generator is not shown in the drawings. It is not clearly how the prime mover is connected to a first rectifier, a DC link connected to an inverter and the inverter connected to a motor. Moreover, the disclosure does not adequately disclose or show that the microturbine operates the motor at variable speeds, the controller varying the current and frequency in response to process requirements during operation of the motor and operating a prime mover proximate the motor to generate dc power, controlling an inverter to vary current and frequency of ac power during startup of the motor, converting backup power to variable frequency ac power when the prime mover fails to generate dc power, ganging other prime movers with the first prime mover,

Art Unit: 2834

operating the microturbine generator using a waste stream for fuel, using the inverter to limit motor current during startup until the motor reaches a predetermined speed and ramping up the frequency and controlling depth of inverter modulation. Accordingly, the disclosure fails to enable one skilled in the art to make or use the invention as is now claimed in claims 60-79.

Interference

- Claims 60-79 of this application have been copied from U.S. Patent No.6,175,210 for the purpose of an interference.
- A. Applicant has failed to present a proposed count as required under CFR 1.607 (a)(2).
- B. Applicant has failed to identify at least one claim of the patent corresponding to the count as required under CFR 1.607 (a) (3).
- C. Applicant has failed to specifically apply each limitation or element of each of the copied claim(s) to the disclosure of the application as required under CFR 1.607 (a) (4).
- D. Applicant has failed to apply the terms of the application and identify as corresponding to the count as required under CFR 1.607 (a) (5) (i) (ii).
- 4. Applicant has failed to establish that application/applicant is entitled to at least the filing date of the patent of which the claims are copied (see 37 CFR 1.608 (b)). Accordingly, U.S. Patent 6,175,210 is prior art under 35 USC 102 (e) against present application.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 6. Claims 60-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schwartz et al.

Schwartz et al disclose all of the claimed invention since the claims in Schwartz's patent are identical to that of the disclosed present invention.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 60-79 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection since the applicant has failed to establish that there is an interference in fact between applicant's invention and the claims of U.S. Patent 6,175,210.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

ز

Art Unit: 2834

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg

April 9, 2002

NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800